



220942US3XPCT

#6
9/4/03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

Masato WATABE, et al.

SERIAL NO.: 10/070,427

FILED: March 18, 2002

FOR: DOOR AND DOOR HANGER
DEVICE AT ELEVATOR
LANDING

EXAMINER: Redman, J.E.

GROUP ART UNIT: 3634

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

RECEIVED
SEP 03 2003
GROUP 3600

In response to the Restriction requirement of June 26, 2003, applicants elect, with traverse, the invention of group 1 readable on claims 1-4.

Applicants traverse the outstanding restriction requirement on the grounds that it has not been established that it be an undue burden to examine each of the noted inventions and claims together.

Under M.P.E.P. § 803, a restriction is not proper if a search and examination can be made without a serious burden on the Examiner, and the outstanding restriction requirement has not established that examining each of the currently-pending claims together would result in an undue burden.

M.P.E.P. § 803 specifically states:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.

The outstanding restriction requirement has not established that each of the claims could be examined without an undue burden, and thus each of the noted inventions and claims should be examined on their merits.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Eckhard H. Kuesters
Registration No. 28,870
Surinder Sachar
Registration No. 34,423
Attorneys of Record



22850

Tel.: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413-2220

EHK/SNS/jrs

I:\ATTY\SNS\22's\220942\REST RESP.DOC